

# A case study of biomass utilisation in Hungary Heves County

Dr. Zoltán Bujdosó (PhD) College professor Károly Róbert College, Gyöngyös







# What I am going to talk about?

- Location
- Natural landscape
- Population
- Economy
- The energy sector
- Existing facilities using renewable energy resources – plans to evaluate our potential
- Some concluding question of a survey









- An administrative unit
- 3637 km<sup>2</sup>
- 344.000 peop.
- 7 micro regions
- 121 municipalities
- Highway connection
- Railway line
- Tisza river (navigable)

DEVELOPMENT FUND





## Natural landscape (North)







EUROPEAN UNION EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

### Landscape (South)























# **Economic situation**

Abandoned mining Hatvan, Gyöngyös, Eger Foreing capital Individual forced entrepreneurs Pauperization of selfgovernments







EUROPEAN UNION EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

## Wine regions







EUROPEAN UNION EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND



## Tourism









## Energy sector







### Wind turbines in Hungary





# Hydropower Kisköre Dam (7 MW)

- Energy potential and its utilization (analizing of accepted conceptions)
- Energy production at the Dam (Sources of data: Hungarian Central Statistical Office, institutional data – own survey)





EUROPEAN UNION EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND





# **Biomass potential**

- Parameters of plant growth in Heves County
  - Landuse (Sources of data: Hungarian Central Statistical Office, analyzing of satellite images)
  - Utilization of agricultural by-products in Heves County (available studies)
  - Potential energy plants in the county (available studies)
  - Utilization of vine in Heves County (available studies)
- Forestry
  - Logging in the county (available studies)
  - Possibilities for utilization of wood in the county
  - (Hungarian Central Statistical Office, analyzing of satellite images)





# expectors in biomass utilization

- Egererdő Inc. (Forestation, producer contracts) (own survey)
- Biomass Powerstation of Gyöngyös (Károly Róbert College) (Energy production, transportation, producer contracts, other functions) (own survey)
- Mátra Power Station of Visonta (Energy production, transportation, co-burning program (lignite-biomass), producer contracts) (own survey)







# Biomass power station, Gyöngyös (1 MW)









#### Mátra Power Station of Visonta (836 MW)









# Wind energy utilization

- Potential of wind energy in the County (analyzing of accepted conceptions)
- Actualities of wind energy production in Heves County - Pacziga Ltd. of Erk (Energy production, transportation, producer contracts, other functions) (own survey)







#### Wind energy power station, Erk (0,8 MW)







EUROPEAN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT FUND



# Solar energy

- Potential of solar energy utilization in Heves County (Source of data: Department of Physics of Eszterházy Károly College)
- Present situation of solar energy utilization in Heves County (Own survey)





# Thermal water

- Actualities of thermal water management in Heves County (analizing of accepted conceptions)
- Utilization possibilities of thermal water in energy production (analizing of accepted conceptions)





EUROPEAN UNION

DEVELOPMENT FUND





# The broader environs of the Eger Micro-Region









- The spatial unit is the Eger micro-region consists of 14 settlements, i.e. in addition to the Town of Eger, 13
- It can be considered as the catchment area of the Town of Eger, with a total number of inhabitants of 79,500.







# Biomass potential in the Eger Micro-Region

A model of estimation based on average yield of the last years (Gergely S.)







# Dimensions

- Can be used for **arables**, forestry and viniculture as well.
- Autumn wheat an sunflower are the most general plants of arable lands in the Eger Micro-Region. Spring and autumn barley, rape, corn and oats are of secondary importance.







Average amount of arable main-products in the Eger Micro-Region

(kg/ha)







Besides main-products in an energetic point-of-view the **amount of by-products** is the most important question.

According to the experiences of the Agriculture Authority of Heves County (AAHC) the ratio of main- and by-products are as follows:

|                   | Main/By-product       |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
|                   |                       |  |
| Corn              | 1:1,8 grain/stem      |  |
| Sunflower         | 1:0,8 grain/plate     |  |
| Rape              | Rape1:3,5 grain/straw |  |
| Wheat/barley/oats | 1:0,6 grain/straw     |  |

Source: AAHC

According to these the average mass of by-products produced in the micro-region can be defined:







4.

## **The amount of the most important arable by-products in the Eger Micro-Region**

| By-product          | Amount (t)         |  |
|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| Autumn wheat straw  | 8223               |  |
| Corn-stalk          | 753                |  |
| Autumn barley-straw | 160                |  |
| Spring barley-straw | 632                |  |
| Rape-straw          | 1448               |  |
| Oats-straw          | 291                |  |
| Sunflower-plate     | nflower-plate 3630 |  |
| Sum                 | 15137              |  |





 Assuming 50% of litter and 10% of manuring from wheat/barley/oats straw, 20% from rape, and 20% manuring and animal feeding from corn we may get the net amount of arable by-products can be used for energetic purposes

The amount of arable by-products can be used for energetic purposes in the Eger Micro-Region

| By-product          | Amount (t) |  |
|---------------------|------------|--|
| Autumn wheat straw  | 3289       |  |
| Corn-stalk          | 603        |  |
| Autumn barley-straw | 64         |  |
| Spring barley-straw | 253        |  |
| Rape-straw          | 1158       |  |
| Oats-straw          | 116        |  |
| Sunflower-plate     | 3630       |  |
| Sum                 | 9113       |  |



5.





#### 6. The calorific value of biomass fuel

| Biomass     | Calorific<br>value (MJ/kg) | Ash (%) | Volatile<br>flammable<br>(%) |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------|------------------------------|
| Wheat straw | 17,3                       | 5,28    | 74                           |
| Corn-stalk  | 17,5                       | 8,78    | 76                           |
| Wood        | 18,5                       | 0,52    | 85                           |
| Bark        | 16,2                       | 7,14    | 76                           |
| Wood-bark   | 18,1                       | 2,65    | 82                           |
| Miscantus   | 17,4                       | 3,2     | 80                           |
| Rape-oil    | 26,9                       | 0       | 100                          |
| Ethanol     | 26,9                       | 0       | 100                          |
| Methanol    | 19,5                       | 0       | 100                          |

Source: Gergely S., 2005





#### Counting with the formerly cited calorific values we may determine the energy content of annual biomass production

|                     | Calorific value (MJ/kg) | Energy Value (GJ) |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|                     |                         |                   |
| Autumn wheat straw  | 13,5                    | 44403             |
| Corn-stalk          | 13                      | 7834              |
| Autumn barley-straw | 13,5                    | 864               |
| Spring barley-straw | 13,5                    | 3414              |
| Rape-straw          | 13,5                    | 15634             |
| Oats-straw          | 13,5                    | 1571              |
| Sunflower-plate     | 11,5                    | 41749             |
| Total energy (GJ)   |                         | 115468            |





#### Public acceptance of renewable energy sources in the study area

- In order to research the social background of the utilisation of renewable energy sources (RES), questionnaire surveys were conducted for the following designated target groups in the study area:
  - inhabitants: 17 settlements of the County of Heves 505 units,
  - farmers: the Micro-region of Eger 100 units,
  - municipalities: 13 municipalities of the County of Heves – 13 units



- As the source of information, 85.74% of the pollees indicated television or radio, this figure followed by 61.78% of the press with far ahead all other categories
- Education: strongly emphasized that it should be strengthened at secondary school level.

- benefits in relation to the use of RES
  - non-polluting nature of RES
  - cheaper energy compared to fossil energy sources
  - the possibility of local energy production
  - making use of agricultural areas of poor quality
  - the improvement of employment

# **BIC** articipating companies /actors o BIC



# Other outputs

- Regional concept
- Market survey
- VAC analyzis
- Communication guide and strategy for the actors
- www.rubires.eu

# Thank you for your attention!



